



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,013	01/25/2007	Xavier Peuziat	B-6074PCT 623619-6	1971
22879	7590	05/27/2010		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration 3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35 FORT COLLINS, CO 80528			EXAMINER AZAD, ABUL K	
			ART UNIT 2626	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 05/27/2010	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM
ipa.mail@hp.com
laura.m.clark@hp.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/587,013	Applicant(s) PEUZIAT ET AL.	
	Examiner ABUL AZAD	Art Unit 2626	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10, 14-21, 23 and 35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10, 14-21, 23 and 35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the Preliminary Amendment filed on July 21, 2006.
2. Claims 1-10, 14-21, 23 and 35 are pending in this action. Claims 1-10, 14-21, 23 and 35 have been amended. Claims 11-13, 22, 24-34 and 36-43 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-10, 14-21, 23 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Packingham et al. (US 7,313,525).

As per claim 1, Packingham teaches, “a method of bookmarking a document, in response to a user request, wherein the document comprises mark-up language and is presentable to a user through an interpreter” (col. 14, line 53-col. 15, line 21), comprising:

“providing the document to the interpreter” (col. 9, lines 23-32);

“inserting in the document prior to the document being presented to the user additional mark-up language for enabling the user request to be made through the interpreter” (col. 9, lines 9-53); and

“storing information relating to the document in response to the user request”
(col. 16, lines 27-51).

As per claim 2, Packingham teaches, “wherein the document is the root document of a plurality of hierarchically arranged documents” (col. 8, lines 60-61).

As per claim 3, Packingham teaches, “wherein the documents form a voice application, wherein the mark-up language is the Voice extensible markup language (VoiceXML), and wherein the interpreter is a VoiceXML interpreter”. (col. 13, lines 10-64)

As per claim 4, Packingham teaches, wherein the step of inserting the mark-up language is adapted for inserting the mark-up language in the root document thereby enabling the user to make the user request irrespective of which of the plurality of documents is being presented by the interpreter at the time the request is made” (col. 15, lines 43-65).

As per claim 5, Packingham teaches, “wherein the inserted mark-up language defines at least one grammar” (col. 15, lines 43-58).

As per claim 6, Packingham teaches, “further comprising catching an event triggered in response to detecting the grammar, obtaining details of the current document and storing the obtained details in the data store” (col. 15, lines 7-21).

As per claim 7, Packingham teaches, “wherein the step of inserting further comprises inserting helper information identifying the details of the current document” (col. 13, lines 30-43).

As per claim 8, Packingham teaches, "wherein the helper information additionally identifies a dialogue within the document" (col. 13, lines 16-64).

As per claim 9, Packingham teaches, "wherein step of storing further comprises storing a link to the document and a tag" (col. 15, lines 7-58).

As per claim 10, Packingham teaches, "wherein the tag is an audio file recorded by the user" (col. 15, lines 45-58).

As per claims 14-21, 23 and 35, they are analyzed and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-10.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abul K. Azad** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7599**. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **David Hudspeth**, can be reached at **(571) 272-7843**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to: **(571) 273-8300**.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to **401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA-22314** (Customer Service Window).

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 25, 2010

Abul K. Azad
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2626

/ABUL AZAD/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626